

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BEAUMONT DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
	§	
V.	§	CASE NO. 1:18-CR-38
	§	
	§	
JONATHAN MATTHEW TORRES	§	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON DEFENDANT'S COMPETENCY TO STAND TRIAL

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and the Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, this criminal proceeding is before the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge upon referral from the District Court. The Court now enters its recommendation that the District Court find the defendant, Jonathan Matthew Torres, competent to proceed.

A. <u>Procedural Background and the Forensic Psychologist's Report</u>

On June 13, 2018, pursuant to the motion of counsel and a referral order entered by the Honorable Thad Heartfield, presiding District Judge, the undersigned issued an order directing the mental examination of the defendant to determine his competency to stand trial (doc. #18). In that order, the Court directed that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney

General for placement in a suitable facility for an examination by a psychiatrist or psychologist to determine whether, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4241, he is suffering from a mental disease or defect rendering him mentally incompetent to the extent he is unable to understand the nature and consequences of the proceedings against him or to assist properly in his defense.

The Court received notification from the warden at the Federal Medical Center, Devens, in Ayer, Massachusetts, that a psychological evaluation had been completed pursuant to the Court's order. Chad Tillbrook, Ph.D., forensic psychologist, issued his report stating that Mr. Torres "does not exhibit substantial defects, due to a mental disease or defect, which would significantly compromise his understanding and appreciation (reasoning) during the trial process." The report further concludes that Torres also does not exhibit substantial impairment in his ability to participate in the preparation and implementation of his defense.

The competency report, which reflects the examiner's method of evaluation and opinion in detail and sets forth the corresponding psychological findings and recommendations, was filed in the record under seal and provided to both the defendant's attorney and the attorney for the Government. On January 10, 2019, the Court conducted a competency hearing to address the findings set forth in the psychological report. Given the opportunity, neither party objected or offered evidence disputing the examiner's conclusion that Mr. Torres is competent.

B. Conclusion and Recommendation

Accordingly, based upon the opinion issued by the forensic psychological examiner, the undersigned recommends that the District Court find the defendant, Jonathan Matthew Torres, competent to proceed pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4241.

C. <u>Objections</u>

Objections must be: (1) specific, (2) in writing, and (3) served and filed within fourteen

(14) days after being served with a copy of this report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party's

failure to object bars that party from: (1) entitlement to de novo review by a district judge of

proposed findings and recommendations, see Rodriguez v. Bowen, 857 F.2d 275, 276-77 (5th Cir.

1988), and (2) appellate review, except on grounds of plain error of unobjected-to factual findings

and legal conclusions accepted by the district court, see Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n., 79

F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc). The constitutional safeguards afforded by Congress

and the courts require that, when a party takes advantage of his right to object to a magistrate's

findings or recommendation, a district judge must exercise its nondelegable authority by

considering the actual evidence and not merely by reviewing and blindly adopting the magistrate

judge's report and recommendation. See Hernandez v. Estelle, 711 F.2d 619, 620 (5th Cir. 1983);

United States v. Elsoffer, 644 F.2d 357, 359 (5th Cir. 1981) (per curiam).

SIGNED this the 16th day of January, 2019.

KEITH F GIBLIN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE